


Instructor: **Mariscal, Carlos**
 Section: **1002**
 Course Title: **Mjr Mvmts Hist Phil**
 Course ID: **83091**

Enrollment: 25
Responses Incl Declines: 9
Declines: 0


Objectives: **Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:**

- 1. summarize current research and critically review the secondary literature for a specialized area of major historical significance in philosophy.**
- 2. explain and interpret the ideas associated with the seminar topic in a research paper, with reference to historical texts or the contemporary philosophical literature.**
- 3. distinguish better and worse reasoning, and recognize conceptual relationships, in historical or contemporary texts dealing with the seminar topic.**
- 4. show how the seminar topic is connected to broader philosophical concerns, and show what is at stake in its investigation.**

Category Summary




Course and Subject Matter (Lecture)



Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
What was the overall quality of this course?	9	36%	3.7	3.3	3.2	4.0	4.0	3.0
How confident are you in the instructor's knowledge of the subject matter of this course?	9	36%	3.9	3.7	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.0

Note: 0:Unsatisfactory; 1:Somewhat inadequate; 2:Adequate; 3:Good; 4:Exceptional;


Guidelines for Evaluating Student Work (Lecture)



Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
How clear were the instructor's expectations and guidelines for evaluating student work?	9	36%	3.7	3.4	3.3	4.0	4.0	4.0

Note: 0:Very unclear; 1:Somewhat unclear; 2:Somewhat clear; 3:Mostly clear; 4:Very clear;


Application of Evaluation Standards (Lecture)



Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
How fair was the application of evaluation standards?	9	36%	3.6	3.4	3.4	4.0	4.0	4.0

Note: 0:Very unfair; 1:Somewhat unfair; 2:Somewhat fair; 3:Mostly fair; 4:Very fair;


Teaching (Lecture)



Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
What was the overall quality of the instructor's teaching?	9	36%	3.7	3.4	3.2	4.0	4.0	4.0

Note: 0:Unsatisfactory; 1:Somewhat inadequate; 2:Adequate; 3:Good; 4:Exceptional;

Learning Outcomes (Lecture)




Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
How well did the syllabus and the instructor convey course expectations and learning outcomes?	9	36%	3.7	3.4	3.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
How well did the course help you achieve these learning outcomes?	9	36%	3.7	3.3	3.2	4.0	3.0	3.0

Note: 0:Unsatisfactory; 1:Somewhat inadequate; 2:Adequate; 3:Good; 4:Exceptional;

Demographics

How many hours per week did you work on this course, other than time in class?



What grade do you expect to receive for this course? Select N/A if the course is not graded.

