Instructor: Mariscal, Carlos

Subject: PHIL

Catalog & Section: **224 1001**

Responses Incl Declines: **5**Declined: **0**

Enrolled: 14

Response 1 of 5

Course and Subject Matter (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of this course?

Exceptional

How confident are you in the instructor's knowledge of the subject matter of this course?

Exceptional

Application of Evaluation Standards (Lecture)

How fair was the application of evaluation standards?

Mostly fair

Guidelines for Evaluating Student Work (Lecture)

How clear were the instructor's expectations and guidelines for evaluating student work?

Somewhat clear (2)

Teaching (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of the instructor's teaching?

Exceptional (4)

Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

Strong lecture, and enough room for student contribution and discussion.

Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement. Loved the Course and just wish we had gone over how to do argument reconstructions more in

class.

Learning Outcomes (Lecture)

How well did the syllabus and the instructor convey course expectations and learning outcomes?

Adequate

How well did the course help you achieve these learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How many hours per week did you work on this course, other than time in

class?

What grade do you expect to receive for this course? Select N/A if the course is not graded.

B+, B, or B-

Instructor: Mariscal, Carlos

Subject: PHIL

Catalog & Section: **224 1001**

Responses Incl Declines: **5**Declined: **0**

Enrolled: 14

Response 2 of 5

Course and Subject Matter (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of this course?

Exceptional

How confident are you in the instructor's knowledge of the subject matter of this course?

Good

Application of Evaluation Standards (Lecture)

How fair was the application of evaluation standards?

Mostly fair

Guidelines for Evaluating Student Work (Lecture)

How clear were the instructor's expectations and guidelines for evaluating student work? Very clear (4)

Teaching (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of the instructor's teaching?

Good (3)

Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

material was often not taught clearly, moved a little too fast to fully understand concepts

Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement. Course was good but i would have preferred more assignments and exams throughout the course rather than 50% of the final grade being the final paper and assignment

Learning Outcomes (Lecture)

How well did the syllabus and the instructor convey course expectations and learning outcomes?

Good

How well did the course help you achieve these learning outcomes?

Good

How many hours per week did you work on this course, other than time in

1 to 3 hours

What grade do you expect to receive for this course? Select N/A if the course is not graded.

A or A-

Instructor: Mariscal, Carlos

Subject: PHIL

Catalog & Section: **224 1001**

Enrolled: 14 Responses Incl Declines: 5

Declined: 0

Response 3 of 5

Course and Subject Matter (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of this

course?

Exceptional

How confident are you in the instructor's knowledge of the subject matter of this course?

Exceptional

Application of Evaluation Standards (Lecture)

How fair was the application of evaluation standards?

Very fair

Guidelines for Evaluating Student Work (Lecture)

How clear were the instructor's expectations and guidelines for evaluating student work?

Very clear (4)

Teaching (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of the instructor's teaching? Exceptional (4)

Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

The teaching was exceptional. It might have been nice for the argument reconstructions to be graded faster, but I understand that this is difficult given almost everyone turns them in at once at the end of the semester.

Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

The course was great. I liked how we discussed topics other than pure logic-related stuff, like problems with peer review, piracy, etc.

Learning Outcomes (Lecture)

How well did the syllabus and the instructor convey course expectations and learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How well did the course help you achieve these learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How many hours per week did you work on this course, other than time in

1 to 3 hours

What grade do you expect to receive for this course? Select N/A if the course is not graded.

A or A-

Instructor: Mariscal, Carlos

Subject: PHIL

Catalog & Section: **224 1001**

Responses Incl Declines: 5 Declined: 0

Enrolled: 14

Response 4 of 5

Course and Subject Matter (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of this course?

How confident are you in the

Exceptional

Exceptional

instructor's knowledge of the subject matter of this course?

Application of Evaluation Standards (Lecture)

How fair was the application of evaluation standards?

Very fair

Guidelines for Evaluating Student Work (Lecture)

How clear were the instructor's expectations and guidelines for evaluating student work?

Very clear (4)

Teaching (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of the instructor's teaching? Exceptional (4)

Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

Dr. Mariscal is a model professor. He understands his role is to make information accessible to students, and does a good job of it. His lectures are informational and his style entertaining. Leads to people actually engaging.

Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

Intro to Phil Sci is a great course. The books are good surveys with historical contextualization. Might mostly just be Dr. Mariscal though. Cannot say enough good things about him.

Learning Outcomes (Lecture)

How well did the syllabus and the instructor convey course expectations and learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How well did the course help you achieve these learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How many hours per week did you work on this course, other than time in

10 hours or more

What grade do you expect to receive for this course? Select N/A if the course is not graded.

A or A-

Instructor: Mariscal, Carlos

Subject: PHIL

Catalog & Section: **224 1001**

Enrolled: **14** Responses Incl Declines: **5**

Declined: 0

Response 5 of 5

Course and Subject Matter (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of this course?

is Exceptional

How confident are you in the instructor's knowledge of the subject

Exceptional

Application of Evaluation Standards (Lecture)

matter of this course?

How fair was the application of evaluation standards?

Very fair

Guidelines for Evaluating Student Work (Lecture)

How clear were the instructor's expectations and guidelines for evaluating student work?

Very clear (4)

Teaching (Lecture)

What was the overall quality of the instructor's teaching?

Exceptional (4)

Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

Learning Outcomes (Lecture)

How well did the syllabus and the instructor convey course expectations and learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How well did the course help you achieve these learning outcomes?

Exceptional

How many hours per week did you work on this course, other than time in

4 to 6 hours

What grade do you expect to receive for this course? Select N/A if the course is not graded.

B+, B, or B-