

Intro Phil Science (Fall 2017)

Instructor: **Mariscal, Carlos**
Subject: **PHIL**
Catalog & Section: **224 1001**
Course ID: **88719**
Objectives:

Enrollment: **14**
Responses Incl Declines: **5**
Declines: **0**

Teaching (Lecture) (8 comments)

Q: Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

- 1 material was often not taught clearly, moved a little too fast to fully understand concepts
- 2 The teaching was exceptional. It might have been nice for the argument reconstructions to be graded faster, but I understand that this is difficult given almost everyone turns them in at once at the end of the semester.
- 3 Dr. Mariscal is a model professor. He understands his role is to make information accessible to students, and does a good job of it. His lectures are informational and his style entertaining. Leads to people actually engaging.
- 4 Strong lecture, and enough room for student contribution and discussion.

Q: Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

- 1 Course was good but i would have preferred more assignments and exams throughout the course rather than 50% of the final grade being the final paper and assignment
- 2 The course was great. I liked how we discussed topics other than pure logic-related stuff, like problems with peer review, piracy, etc.
- 3 Intro to Phil Sci is a great course. The books are good surveys with historical contextualization. Might mostly just be Dr. Mariscal though. Cannot say enough good things about him.
- 4 Loved the Course and just wish we had gone over how to do argument reconstructions more in class.