

The Modern World (Fall 2021)

Instructor: **Mariscal, Carlos**
Subject: **CH**
Catalog & Section: **202 1008**
Course ID: **82625**
Objectives:

Enrollment: **26**
Responses Incl Declines: **21**
Declines: **0**

Teaching (Lecture) (37 comments)

Q: Please provide your thoughts on the instructor's teaching, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

- 1 I enjoyed the lectures.
- 2 Dr. Mariscal and Dr. Rodriguez are incredible at lecturing. It is clear that they are not only knowledgeable in the content they teach, but also that they are invested/passionate about what they share on each topic. I enjoyed the division of content between professors as it engaged my attention when alternating between different perspectives each class. For future students, one possible area for improvement is simply providing example work of what is expected from course work, such as critical responses and essays.
- 3 I think that the fact that the teachers were so competitive took away from the class as a whole and made the other persons lectures less important. I also think that they need to grade much faster because i didn't get feed back on critical responses for over 3 months.
- 4 n/a
- 5 I actually liked that we had two teachers in this course. Both of them in my opinion did well teaching this class and I enjoyed it.
- 6 The teaching was good sometimes it was a little fast when it came to the powerpoint slides but it was good.
- 7 The powerpoints were very detailed it was just hard to even be able to listen and write with so many words. It was hard to know what we were supposed to be learning with the overload of slides and information.
- 8 teaching was very interesting and engaging, i liked the discussions during class
- 9 I loved having 2 professors. It allows me to learn 2 sides of each time period and allowed for diversity within the lectures.
- 10 Both professors had different styles of teaching but it made it efficient for learning the material better
- 11 They are great instructors. They also try to make me engaged with the material by bringing information about Saudi Arabia which is something I really appreciate.
- 12 The lecture slides had too many words and they talked too fast that it was unclear of what we needed to learn.
- 13 both professors moved too quickly and did not allow students to view slides before lecture to take notes before. I would have succeeded and possibly enjoyed the class more if I didn't have to scribble down the paragraphs on the lecture slides as quick as humanly possible.
- 14 there are two instructors that teach according to their strengths, they both have very distinct ways of teaching and are clearly both very knowledgeable in their craft. Overall, they both teach well and provide great discussion for the class to engage in with every lecture.
- 15 I enjoyed the teaching style and how we had discussion questions in class, helped everyone understand the lecture more.
- 16 enjoyed both instructors, very personable and entertaining for students to engage.
- 17 This class was very engaging and interesting to be in. Lectures were engaging and interesting. Discussion was great.
- 18 Dr. Mariscal could incorporate more discussion into his lectures
- 19 Both professors had amazing and interesting lectures. A strength for this course is using the menti.com during lecture, because it allowed those who were shy to answer a question, to conduct an answer of their own without feeling insecure.

Q: Please provide your thoughts on the course as a whole, including strengths and areas for possible improvement.

- 1 The content covered in lecture was exceptional as it engaged critical thinking with analysis of both historical and modern works.
- 2 I think the course was well organized and i liked having two tea hers who specialized in both main themes of the classes.
- 3 n/a
- 4 I liked this course a lot and would take it again since it was well ran.
- 5 Good course overall, I just wish some of my things were graded faster.
- 6 The course was good it was just unclear what the expectations were for the writing assignments and didn't give feedback quick enough so you can fix the mistake because it was graded so late.
- 7 class was great but homework load was a lot, a lot of reading and writing

- 8 I loved the course.

- 9 I really liked this class , you were able to engage and participate more because of how small the class was

- 10 The class is interesting and fun especially if you participate in discussions. However, they grade assignments so slow, it takes weeks to get one assignment graded. Also, professor Mariscal is not accessible outside of class.

- 11 The grading was so late that i didn't know what I needed to change to make the next essay or assignment better.

- 12 do not rely solely on readings. giving supplemental information would be more helpful. I would have like it if we didn't use the flipped style classroom (readings then discussion) and used a regular setup instead (briefings and background then reading and hw)

- 13 The course as a whole has super interesting material. It goes chronologically starting in the middle ages up to the 20th century, a lot of information is covered but it made for a lot of learning.

- 14 strengths: the lectures and the professors
weakness: the in class questions can be a little tricky

- 15 Liked the course, alike to a history course for me. Possibly could improve by more discussion and debrief of all outside readings.

- 16 Teachers were super nice and helpful. Loved sitting in on this class.

- 17 I was very interested in most of the topics that were covered and I'm happy it wasn't just a boring history class.

- 18 The course overall was very well structured, and the strengths were splitting up lectures for philosophy/science and history. Dividing up the lectures as such, made it much more engaging. A possible area for improvement is indicating exactly what section of the articles is wanted to be read. At times i would find myself confused if i had to read the full 14-22 pages or only a particular section.